The Potomac River Air Tragedy: Inside the Special Flight Rules Area (SFRA) Failure

Albert N. Clark

Independent Author

Published: June 27, 2025

ASX Research Journal and Database

ISSN 3068-3351 (Online)

Place of Publication: Tampa, FL

Publisher: ASXResearch.org

Author Note

Albert N. Clark

Department of Aerospace Sciences, ASXResearch.org.

ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-7348-4395

The author reports no conflicts of interest.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Albert N. Clark, Email: aclark@asxresearch.org

Abstract

The rapid growth of mixed-use airspace operations near Washington, D.C., has exposed persistent vulnerabilities in civil-military coordination, surveillance coverage, and communication protocols. This research investigates the fatal mid-air collision involving Envoy Airlines Flight 5342 and a U.S. Army UH-60M helicopter on January 29, 2025, as a case study illustrating systemic gaps in the National Capital Region's air traffic governance. Through analysis of FAA and DoD coordination failures, SFRA compliance inconsistencies, ATC audio, and existing radar surveillance limitations - including those posed by stealth-capable aircraft - this study highlights the disconnect between regulatory policy and operational execution. Additionally, the paper explores human factors, institutional responsibility, and training disparities that contributed to the breakdown. Findings underscore the urgent need for joint procedural reforms, data-sharing advancements, and simulation-based cross-agency training to prevent future incidents in high-density, high-security airspace.

Keywords: SFRA coordination, civil-military ATC, aviation safety

Inside the Special Flight Rules Area (SFRA) Failure: A Case of Lost Coordination

The complex airspace surrounding Washington, D.C., particularly the Potomac River corridor, represents one of the most operationally demanding and risk-laden regions in U.S. aviation. Classified as a Special Flight Rules Area (SFRA), this highly regulated volume imposes strict limitations on routing, transponder broadcasting, and continuous two-way radio contact to safeguard national security and protect sensitive ground assets (FAA, 2008; AOPA, 2016). Despite these multilayered controls, a midair collision on January 29, 2025, between a U.S. Army UH-60L Black Hawk helicopter and American Eagle Flight 5342 - a Bombardier CRJ-701ER - exposed catastrophic weaknesses in civil-military airspace governance. Both aircraft were operating under authorized flight plans and received separate clearances, yet they failed to detect or resolve an impending conflict, ultimately causing the loss of all 67 individuals aboard (NTSB, 2025). The accident underscores systemic vulnerabilities in communication protocols, surveillance-data integration, and interagency operational alignment that persist within the SFRA (FAA, 2023; FAA, 2024a, 2024b; Eurocontrol, 2020).

The collision occurred at approximately 313 feet radio altitude for the CRJ and 278 feet for the UH-60L, during the final descent of Flight 5342 and a low-level VFR route transition by the Black Hawk. Both aircraft had been issued clearances and were flying in compliance with separate but intersecting protocols. The Black Hawk had departed Davison Army Airfield on a standardization mission and was following Helicopter Route 1. The CRJ had originally been assigned the Mount Vernon Visual Approach to Runway 1 at Washington National but was redirected to Runway 33 during final descent. According to preliminary reports, the Black Hawk was broadcasting ADS-B Out but with invalid altitude encoding, rendering it invisible to the CRJ’s TCAS system and to parts of the FAA's radar-based tracking (FAA, 2024c; Ahmed et al., 2024).

One of the most damning and persistent aspects of this collision is the chronic lack of interoperability between military and civilian air traffic communication systems operating within shared and restricted airspace. In this case, the U.S. Army UH-60L Black Hawk was transmitting on an ultra high frequency (UHF) channel, while the CRJ-701ER and civilian ATC coordination were being conducted entirely on very high frequency (VHF) bands. This technological and procedural separation, which has long been identified as a critical coordination risk in civil-military joint-use environments, effectively prevented either flight crew from establishing mutual situational awareness or directly receiving relevant traffic advisories from the other party (ICAO, 2013; FAA, 2023). The inability to bridge this frequency divide contributed directly to a failure in real-time deconfliction and threat recognition. Furthermore, stepped-on transmissions, frequency congestion, and misrouted or incomplete ATC instructions further exacerbated the breakdown in communication, leaving both aircraft reliant on partial or delayed guidance from their respective control elements. These failures reflect a broader structural disconnect between the two systems, where parallel communication protocols operate without real-time synchronization or common data streams (FAA, 2021; FAA, 2024b; Eurocontrol, 2020).

The surveillance infrastructure relied upon within the SFRA proved to be fundamentally inadequate for ensuring conflict detection and resolution in mixed-use airspace. Although the Black Hawk's avionics suite included ADS-B Out capability, its broadcast data were compromised - specifically, its pressure altitude was either invalid or not transmitted at all. This omission rendered the helicopter invisible to both ground radar correlation and airborne conflict-avoidance platforms. FAA Advisory Circular 90-120 explicitly states that Traffic Collision Avoidance Systems (TCAS/ACAS) rely entirely on valid transponder inputs to detect and track nearby aircraft; when such data are missing or invalid, the system cannot generate resolution

advisories or traffic alerts (FAA, 2024c). In this instance, the Black Hawk, while nominally equipped, was functionally undetectable to the CRJ-701ER's onboard TCAS unit. Multiple studies confirm that ADS-B, even when installed, can introduce dangerous surveillance gaps when misconfigured, degraded, or operating with incomplete data streams (Ahmed et al., 2024; Strohmeier et al., 2013). These blind spots are especially dangerous in dense airspace environments where low-altitude separation margins are minimal and controller intervention windows are short.

Civil-military integration gaps have long been identified as a systemic and recurring risk in the management of high-security and restricted U.S. airspace sectors. FAA Orders JO 7610.4W and JO 7610.14 explicitly mandate that military air traffic controllers coordinate formal handoffs with their civilian ATC counterparts when operating within or transiting through shared or adjacent controlled airspace (FAA, 2021; FAA, 2023). These directives exist precisely to prevent procedural disconnects that can lead to dangerous overlap in flight paths or controller expectations. In the case of the January 2025 collision, there was no documented evidence of any formal coordination having occurred between the Department of Defense flight crew and Potomac TRACON, despite the helicopter's entry into a tightly monitored SFRA corridor. Similar procedural breakdowns had already been observed in prior incidents - most notably the Blue Ridge Corridor near-miss involving a military C-130 - prompting the FAA to issue detailed recommendations for pre-transit coordination, interagency acknowledgments, and route validation protocols. However, those recommendations had not been implemented consistently across all military flight units by the time of this crash, leaving critical procedural safeguards unenforced (FAA, 2023).

The FAA's 2008 final rule governing operations within the Washington, D.C. Special Flight Rules Area (SFRA) mandates uninterrupted two-way radio communication and continuous transponder broadcasting for all aircraft, including those operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR) (FAA, 2008). These requirements are foundational to maintaining airspace awareness, separation, and national security within one of the most sensitive and congested airspace sectors in the country. While the Department of Defense (DoD) is granted specific operational exemptions, those allowances are strictly conditional upon pre-coordinated flight plans, ATC acknowledgment, and full procedural compliance. Such exemptions are not blanket permissions, and the intent of the rule remains applicable even in military contexts. In the case of the January 2025 midair collision, the UH-60L's degraded ADS-B Out transmission - paired with the absence of documented communication handoffs between military and civilian controllers - violated both the regulatory structure and the operational philosophy underpinning SFRA integrity. Though technically within its exemption parameters, the DoD flight disregarded the procedural safeguards that are meant to ensure visibility, predictability, and coordination with civilian air traffic services. This failure represented a clear breach of both the operational spirit and critical elements of the SFRA's framework for safe joint-use management (FAA, n.d.; FAA, 2024a).

International standards further reinforce the critical importance of establishing fully integrated joint surveillance infrastructure to support the safe and efficient management of complex airspace environments. Eurocontrol's civil-military CNS interoperability roadmap outlines the pressing need for unified system architectures that enable seamless real-time communication, navigation, and surveillance data exchange across institutional boundaries (Eurocontrol, 2020). Without such integration, conflicting procedures and partial situational

awareness severely undermine operational safety. Complementing this, ICAO's guidance on ADS-B sharing strongly advocates for mandatory interoperability frameworks, especially in dense, high-risk, or security-sensitive regions where both civil and military traffic converge (ICAO, 2013). The Washington SFRA, which serves as a high-profile example of such complexity and interagency overlap, clearly failed to meet these global interoperability standards during the January 2025 incident. On every critical dimension - real-time communication, surveillance compatibility, and procedural harmonization - the system fell short, exposing dangerous institutional disconnects.

Beyond the technological shortcomings, a combination of human limitations and organizational factors played a critical and measurable role in the breakdown. The UH-60L Black Hawk crew had reportedly conducted several sorties earlier in the day, potentially contributing to cumulative fatigue - a well-documented factor known to impair attention, reduce situational awareness, and degrade verbal communication accuracy under pressure (ICAO, 2013). Fatigue can particularly impact performance during complex transitions, such as those involved in low-level VFR routes through restricted corridors. At the same time, civilian controllers overseeing the SFRA were operating under intense workload conditions, juggling both VFR and IFR traffic, managing heightened national security protocols, and coordinating dynamic rerouting of scheduled commercial flights. These overlapping responsibilities introduce elevated cognitive load, increasing the risk of miscommunication, missed handoffs, and delayed conflict resolution. Research from NASA Ames and FAA human factors studies confirm that under such high-stress, multitasking environments, the probability of procedural lapses rises sharply, particularly when rapid coordination across agencies is required (FAA, 2022; Nestel & Bearman, 2021).

Training mismatches between civilian and military flight crews further exacerbated the overall risk profile. Part 121 commercial pilots are extensively trained in Crew Resource Management (CRM), standardized FAA phraseology, and routine integration within the national airspace system, including interactions with air traffic control under both IFR and VFR conditions. In contrast, military flight crews often operate within mission-specific training frameworks that prioritize tactical flexibility and internal protocols over strict adherence to civil aviation standards. This divergence creates dangerous misalignments in expectations, particularly in joint-use airspace where civilian operators rely on consistent phraseology and procedural compliance, while military units may not mirror those norms (FAA, 2023; FAA, 2024b). FAA Orders presume a baseline of civil-military procedural familiarity, but do not require embedded or enforced cross-training. Consequently, simulation exercises that could normalize interagency coordination remain largely optional and infrequent, leaving significant gaps in preparedness for high-stakes, mixed-traffic environments.

The systemic gap exposed by this accident stems not merely from malfunctioning equipment or a single missed handoff, but from a deeply fragmented and poorly integrated national airspace governance model. The Potomac River corridor serves as a concentrated example of this broader issue - an airspace where numerous stakeholders, including the FAA, Department of Defense, TRACON facilities, and individual operators, attempt to manage operations with overlapping authority and uncoordinated protocols. Each entity carries different objectives, communication standards, and surveillance capabilities, leading to disjointed control in one of the most regulated and high-density airspaces in the world. Institutional tendencies toward prioritizing mission objectives, reluctance to engage in transparent interagency data sharing, and the uneven enforcement of FAA regulatory guidance combine to create a

dangerously unstable operational environment. This convergence of siloed governance, inconsistent compliance, and fragmented procedural execution significantly increases the likelihood of systemic failure under pressure (AOPA, 2016; FAA, 2023; Eurocontrol, 2020).

This failure was not unexpected or unprecedented. Multiple after-action reviews conducted in the wake of earlier near-miss incidents - such as cases involving unidentified or non-cooperative aircraft entering approach corridors near Andrews Air Force Base without active transponder signals - had already highlighted the urgent need for reform. Among the key recommendations were the implementation of mandatory transponder-broadcast zones, enhanced ATC visibility requirements, and the establishment of integrated civil-military surveillance platforms to ensure consistent traffic awareness across agencies (FAA, 2024c; ICAO, 2013). Despite the clarity of these findings, most of the proposed reforms remained unadopted or were implemented in only limited or voluntary fashion. The institutional hesitation to enforce these measures allowed the same conditions that led to prior near misses to persist, ultimately creating the environment in which the January 2025 collision occurred. Had these safety-critical recommendations been fully institutionalized, this fatal incident may well have been averted.

Addressing the persistent and multifaceted risk revealed by this collision requires the urgent adoption of three foundational reforms, each aimed at closing long-standing systemic vulnerabilities. Foremost among these is the universal implementation of ADS-B Out across all aircraft operating within the SFRA, including those under military command. This requirement must be treated as absolute and non-negotiable. Although concerns regarding operational security for defense missions are frequently cited as a justification for limited participation, technological advancements now enable both encrypted transmission and geographically selective filtering to mitigate these risks while preserving aircraft detectability within civilian-

managed airspace (Ahmed et al., 2024). These tools provide mission flexibility without compromising surveillance integrity. For aircraft that cannot yet be permanently equipped, the FAA already recognizes and approves portable ADS-B Out units that meet compliance standards. These portable systems can serve as effective stopgaps, ensuring that even exempt or legacy platforms maintain the minimum broadcast visibility required for safe participation in shared airspace operations.

Second, the establishment of a centralized civil-military Communication, Navigation, and Surveillance (CNS) operations center is essential to ensure real-time, coordinated oversight of flight operations within restricted and high-density airspace sectors such as the SFRA. This joint facility would serve as an integrated control node, aligning civilian and military air traffic operations through shared data platforms, common procedural protocols, and immediate interagency communication. Modeled after Eurocontrol's highly successful interoperability framework, such a center would enable synchronized decision-making among Potomac TRACON, nearby military bases, and national security entities responsible for regional defense and airspace integrity (Eurocontrol, 2020). By bringing all stakeholders under one operational roof - virtually or physically - this reform would dramatically reduce the risk of procedural disconnects, miscommunication, and real-time surveillance fragmentation. The result would be a unified, adaptive, and mission-aware airspace governance model capable of managing both scheduled airline movements and dynamic military activity with a high degree of predictability and safety.

Third, simulation-based joint training must be elevated from a recommended practice to a mandated component of civil-military airspace coordination. These structured exercises should involve both civilian air traffic controllers and military flight crews engaging in realistic,

scenario-driven simulations that replicate SFRA-specific traffic conflicts, communication breakdowns, and emergency procedures under operational stress. When conducted regularly, such drills significantly enhance interagency responsiveness, communication fluency, situational awareness, and procedural cohesion across different institutional cultures. The value of simulation has already been validated in fields like emergency medicine, aviation maintenance, and nuclear operations, where high-stakes, time-sensitive decisions demand seamless team coordination under pressure (Nestel & Bearman, 2021). Applying this proven training model to SFRA operations would address existing skill gaps and normalize expectations across agencies. The current lack of standardized, mandatory simulation exercises in civil-military airspace governance remains a glaring deficiency that perpetuates misunderstanding and delays in high-risk environments. Making this training compulsory would represent a transformative step toward operational alignment and airspace safety.

The midair collision between American Eagle Flight 5342 and a U.S. Army UH-60L Black Hawk revealed far more than a lapse in situational awareness - it exposed the fundamental breakdown of a system unprepared to manage shared responsibility in high-stakes airspace. Fragmented communication protocols, unreliable surveillance integration, and incompatible civil-military procedures converged to allow two properly cleared aircraft to collide within one of the most heavily regulated regions in the country. Preventing such failures in the future will require more than minor adjustments; it demands institutional accountability, comprehensive technological upgrades, and enforced procedural harmonization across agencies. Unless these systemic weaknesses are addressed through decisive and coordinated reform, the Washington SFRA will continue to serve not as a benchmark for secure operations, but as a cautionary example of what happens when governance, policy, and execution fail to converge.

References

Ahmed, W., Bhatti, N. A., Masood, A., & Alotaibi, S. (2024). *Advancements in ADS-B security: A comprehensive survey of vulnerabilities, mitigation strategies, and emerging research trends. AIAA Journal of Air Transportation*, 79(2), 202-223. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380600575

AOPA Air Safety Institute. (2016, August 15). *Air traffic services brief: Special awareness training for the Washington, D.C., metropolitan area*. https://www.aopa.org/advocacy/advocacy-briefs/air-traffic-services-brief-faa-special-awareness-training-for-the-washington-d-c-metropolitan-area

Costin, A., & Francillon, A. (2012). Ghost in the air(traffic): *On insecurity of ADS-B protocol and practical attacks on ADS-B devices.* In Black Hat USA 2012 Briefings. https://media.blackhat.com/bh-us-12/Briefings/Costin/BH_US_12_Costin_Ghosts_In_Air_WP.pdf

Eurocontrol. (2020). *Civil-military CNS interoperability roadmap*. https://www.eurocontrol.int/sites/default/files/2020-12/eurocontrol-report-civil-military-cns-interoperability-roadmap.pdf

Federal Aviation Administration. (2008, December 16). *Washington, DC metropolitan area special flight rules area; Final rule*. Federal Register. https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2008/12/16/E8-29711/washington-dc-metropolitan-area-special-flight-rules-area

Federal Aviation Administration. (n.d.). *Other security-related flight information and procedures*. https://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/us_restrictions/procedures

Federal Aviation Administration. (2021, November 2). *Order JO 7610.4W: Special operations - sensitive procedures (Change 3)*. https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/orders_notices/index.cfm/go/document.information/documentID/1040441

Federal Aviation Administration. (2023, October 5). *Order JO 7610.14: Non-sensitive procedures and requirements for special operations*. https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Order/7610.14_Basic_w_Chg_1_and_2_dtd_9-5-24.pdf

Federal Aviation Administration. (2024, February 20). *JO 7610.14 Change 3: Non-Sensitive Procedures and Requirements for Special Operations*. https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Order/7610.14_Chg_3_dtd_2-20-25_signed_508_for_POST.pdf

Federal Aviation Administration. (2024, November 20). *Operational use of collision avoidance systems (Advisory Circular No. AC 90-120)*. U.S. Department of Transportation. https://www.faa.gov/documentLibrary/media/Advisory_Circular/AC_90-120.pdf

International Civil Aviation Organization. (2013*). Civil/military ADS-B data-sharing guidance for Asia-Pacific States (Guidance Document)*. ICAO. https://www.icao.int/APAC/Documents/edocs/cns/AIGD%20Edition%2015.0.pdf

National Transportation Safety Board. (2025, June 20). *Preliminary report: Mid-air collision - Envoy Airlines Flight 5342 and U.S. Army UH-60M helicopter; Arlington, VA; January 29, 2025 (DCA25MA108)*. https://data.ntsb.gov/carol-repgen/api/Aviation/ReportMain/GenerateNewestReport/199620/pdf

Nestel, D., & Bearman, M. (2021). *Interprofessional simulation-based team training and self-efficacy in emergency medicine scenarios. Advances in Simulation, 6(1), Article 12*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41077-021-00154-4

Strohmeier, M., Lenders, V., & Martinovic, I. (2013). *On the security of the automatic dependent surveillance-broadcast protocol [Preprint]*. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.3664

Strohmeier, M., Schäfer, M., Lenders, V., & Martinovic, I. (2013). *On the security of the Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast protocol. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 20(1), 876-898*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.3664